

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 5361-1

REMARKSClaim Amendments

The claims have been amended to present the claims that are considered to be allowable by the Examiner, and some pending claims have been amended to correct the dependencies. Claims that have been cancelled herein are cancelled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter therein. Applicants expressly reserve the right to pursue the subject matter of any cancelled claims in a continuation application.

Allowable Subject Matter and Claim Objections

Applicants note that the Examiner has indicated that Claims 3, 6, 22, 23, 37, 38, 53, 57, 72 and 73 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

In response, Applicants note that Claims 3, 6, 22, 37, 53, and 57 were already presented in independent form in the response filed November 29, 2004, and Claims 23, 38, 72 and 73 already depend from these independent claims only. Therefore, the claims to which the Examiner has objected should be indicated as allowed, and the Examiner's acknowledgment of the same is respectfully requested. Claims 24, 44, 47, and 48 have been amended to depend only from one or more of the allowable claims and therefore, allowance of these claims is respectfully requested.

Objection to the Specification and Rejection of Claims 2, 5, 7, 21, 24, 36, 39, 44, 47, 48, 50-56, 60-62, 64, 65 and 68 Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph:

The Examiner has objected to the specification and rejected Claims 2, 5, 7, 21, 24, 36, 39, 44, 47, 48, 50-56, 60-62, 64, 65 and 68 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph, on the basis of written description.

To expedite prosecution, Applicants have cancelled Claims 2, 5, 7, 21, 36, 39, 50-52, 54-56, 60-62, 64, 65, and 68, without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter therein. All other claims rejected under this section have been amended to depend from claims indicated to be allowable by the Examiner. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 5361-1

the rejection of Claims 2, 5, 7, 21, 24, 36, 39, 44, 47, 48, 50-56, 60-62, 64, 65 and 68 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph.

Objection to the Specification and Rejection of Claims 2, 5, 7, 21, 24, 36, 39, 44, 47, 48, 50-56, 60-62, 64, 65 and 68 Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph:

The Examiner has objected to the specification and rejected Claims 2, 5, 7, 21, 24, 36, 39, 44, 47, 48, 50-56, 60-62, 64, 65 and 68 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, on the basis of enablement.

To expedite prosecution, Applicants have cancelled Claims 2, 5, 7, 21, 36, 39, 50-52, 54-56, 60-62, 64, 65, and 68, without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter therein. All other claims rejected under this section have been amended to depend from claims indicated to be allowable by the Examiner. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of Claims 2, 5, 7, 21, 24, 36, 39, 44, 47, 48, 50-56, 60-62, 64, 65 and 68 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph.

Applicants submit that the pending claims are in a condition for allowance and respectfully request the Examiner's speedy acknowledgment of the same. In the event that there are any remaining concerns regarding these claims, Applicants' agent requests the courtesy of a telephone call at (303) 863-9700 to expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,  
SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

By: Angela Dallas Sebor  
Angela Dallas Sebor  
Registration No. 42,460  
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200  
Denver, CO 80202-5141  
(303) 863-9700

Date: June 16, 2005